GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH <u>ABSTRACT</u>

Public Services – Municipal Administration & Urban Development Department Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation - Departmental Proceedings under Rule-20 of the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1991 - Articles of Charge framed against Sri G.Amruth Kumar, the then Town Planning Assistant, MCH., presently working as Assistant City Planner (I/c) (HO) Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation – Further action dropped - Orders - Issued.

MUNICIPAL ADMN & URBAN DEVELOPMENT (Vig.III) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt.No.414, Dated: 13.04.2010
Read the following:

1) G.O.Rt.No.564, M.A. & U.D.(Vig.III) Dept., Dated: 19.05.2006.

- 2) From Sri G.Amruth Kumar, the then Town Planning Assistant, MCH., Written Statement of Defence, Dated: 19.10.2006.
- 3) From Sri G.Amruth Kumar, the then TPA (HO) MCH., presently working as Asst.City Planner (I/c) (HO) Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Representation, Dated: 18.11.2009.

-::()::-

ORDER:

In the reference first read above, Government have framed charges against Sri Amruth Kumar, the then Town Planning Assistant, Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad, for committing grave misconduct in granting permission in Permit No.240/63, Dated: 01.03.1993, in violation of Rules & Regulations in Plot No.395 of Jubilee Hills and encroachment in Plot No.265 (S) and failure to maintain absolute integrity in violation of Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad (Employees Conduct) Rules, 1968.

- 2. In the reference 2nd read above, Sri Amruth Kumar, the then Town Planning Assistant, Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad, has submitted his Written Statement of Defence, wherein he has submitted that he is not responsible in issuing the permission in Permit No.240/63, Dated:01.03.1993 and has not exhibited any lack of integrity, devotion to duty and not contravened Rules and therefore while denying the charge he has requested the Government to drop further action against him in the matter.
- In the reference 3rd read above, Sri G.Amruth Kumar, the then Town planning Assistant (HO) Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad and presently working as Assistant City Planner (I/c) (HO) Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, has submitted a Representation, wherein, he has stated that in the office Note file, he has never recommended for considering the open space of Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad into plotted area and plotted area into open space and submitted the file for perusal and orders of the superior officers. Subsequently, a decision was taken by the Superior Officers to furnish the part layout plan by society interchanging the open spaces and plotted area. He has further submitted that, based on the Vigilance Report, the then Commissioner, Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad has entrusted the case to Dr.G.S.G.Iyengar, IAS, the then Additional Commissioner, Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad, for enquiry and to submit the report. The Additional Commissioner (Dev), Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad in his report has stated that Sri Amruth Kumar, Town Planning Assistant clearly mentioned in File No.73/TP5/91 Dt. 21.03.1991 that a policy decision needs to be taken at this stage or not to consider the request of the applicant converting plotted area to open space and open space of Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad to plotted area extra allotted to the Plot No.395, but his opinion was vetoed by the Superior Officers i.e., the then Assistant City Planner, Additional Town Planner and the Chief City Planner. Hence, the Additional

Commissioner (Dev), Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad has reported that the contention of involvement of Sri Amruth Kumar, Town Planning Assistant in issue of irregular permission is not sustainable. In view of the above, Sri G.Amruth Kumar, the then Town Planning Assistant (HO) Municipal Commissioner of Hyderabad, presently working as Assistant City Planner (I/c) (HO) Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, has stated that he is not responsible in issuing the permission in Permit No.240/63 dated 01.03.1993 and has not exhibited any lack of integrity, devotion to duty and not contravened Rule 3(1) and (2) of the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad (Employees Conduct) Rules 1968, and therefore requested the Government to exonerate him from the charge and drop further action against him in the matter.

- 4. After careful examination of the matter and considering the contentions submitted by Sri G.Amruth Kumar, the then Town Planning Assistant (HO) Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad presently working as Assistant City Planner (I/c) (HO) Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation and with reference to the enquiry findings of the then Additional Commissioner, Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad and the specific remarks thereon furnished by the then Commissioner & Special Officer, Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad, Government have decided to exonerate him from the charge and to drop further action against him, in the matter.
- 5. Accordingly, Government hereby exonerates Sri G.Amruth Kumar, the then Town Planning Assistant (HO) Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad, presently working as Assistant City Planner (I/c) (HO) Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, from the charge and drop further action against him, in the matter.
- 6. The Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Hyderabad, shall take further action accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

T.S.APPARAO PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To

Sri G.Amruth Kumar, the then Town Planning Assistant, (HO) Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad, presently working as Assistant City Planner (I/c) (HO) Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, **through** the Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Hyderabad.

Copy to:

The Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Hyderabad.

The Secretary, A.P. Vigilance Commission, Hyderabad. **The General Administration** (V&E) Department, B.R.K.R.Bhavan, Hyderabad. **SF/SC**

//Forwarded :: By: Order//

SECTION OFFICER